

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,665	03/29/2004	Jen-Hsiang Lee	TSAI 135	1152
7590 06/14/2005			EXAMINER	
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.			DUONG, HUNG V	
Suite 500				
1101 14 Street, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005			2835	
		DATE MAIL ED: 06/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/810,665	LEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hung v. Duong	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutt  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  77 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of third by period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .					
	☐ This action is non-final.					
· <u> </u>	<u>,                                     </u>					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objectio	n to the drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been	pplication No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.				
Attachment(s)		Hay V. M				
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413) HUNG VAN DUONG				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		)/Mail Date PRIMARY EXAMINER formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/810,665 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousnesstype double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of US2005/0013108. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it has been held that substitution of an element and its function (first slide rail in lieu of first sliding device) in a combination where the remaining elements perform the same functions as before involves only routine skill in the art.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wilhelm (US Pat. 5,233,594).

Regarding claims 1-2, 11 Wilhelm discloses a removable hard disk module installed in a module fixing device 80, the removable hard disk module comprising: a module sidewall; a first slide rail 84, 86 fixed on the module sidewall, wherein the first slide rail 84, 86 is an elastically linear slide rail made of a linear material; and a second slide rail 84, 86 fixed on the module sidewall and above the first slide rail, wherein the first slide rail and the second slide rail 84, 86 support the removable hard disk module 40 so that the removable hard disk module 40 slides in the module fixing device wherein the module fixing device 80 further comprises a supporting wall 41, the supporting wall 41 further comprising at least two support studes 42 to support the removable hard disk

Art Unit: 2835

module 40 and the removable hard disk module 40 sliding in the module fixing device 80.

#### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Blackborow et al (US Pat. 5,253,129) teach removable and transportable hard disk.

Haga et al (US Pat. 5,435,737) teach removable memory modules.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hung v Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-2041. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 8:30 to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

Page 5

Art Unit: 2835

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

HVD

6/09/05

Hung Duong Primary Examiner.